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### 1.0 Introduction

This report documents the traffic analysis completed by Louis Berger to evaluate proposed short term capacity improvements to the intersection of Route 26 (Shaker Road) and Libby Hill Road in Gray, Maine.

The improvements considered were originally proposed in the Route 26 Corridor Study created by TY Lin for Maine Department of Transportation (MaineDOT) in August 2014. The intersection has been scoped by MaineDOT as a Highway Safety and Spot Improvements program area.

### 1.1 Site Description

The location of the project is the intersection of Libby Hill Road, the Hannaford Driveway and Route 26 in Gray, Maine. The project extents are limited to the existing turning lane storage areas (approximately 200 feet per direction of each approach). A map showing the site area is shown as Figure 1.

Figure 1: Site Location Map


### 1.2 Existing and Proposed Traffic Volumes

Route 26 is a heavy commuter roadway, classified as Group II by MaineDOT, which defines the roadway as an arterial. The definition of an arterial road is a high-capacity urban road with the primary function of delivering traffic from collector roads to freeways or expressways. Route 26 is also classified as a part of the National Highway System ${ }^{1}$. The most recent available AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic) data from 2013 shows daily traffic volumes of 16,980 just south of Libby Hill Road and 15,180 just north of Libby Hill Road². The speed limit on Route 26 in the immediate area is 35 mph . Libby Hill Road is a local road with no signed speed limit; for the purposes of this study 25 mph was assumed.

There are significant traffic generators at the intersection: to the west on Libby Hill Road are the Gray-New Gloucester Middle School, High School and associated sports complexes; to the east is a local chain supermarket (Hannaford).

As expected on an arterial roadway the AM and PM peak hour volumes are high due to commuter traffic traveling to and from the greater Portland region. During the AM peak hour this is intensified by students accessing the schools on Libby Hill Road. The most recent available counts at the intersection show 1,654 vehicles passing through during the AM peak hour (6:45-7:45 AM) and 1,850 vehicles during the PM peak hour (4:30-5:30 PM). By seasonally adjusting these volumes which were taken in September 2013 to the peak season (using a MaineDOT calculated factor of 1.10) and inflating to 2016 volumes using a $0.50 \%$ annual non-compounded growth rate the 2016 peak hour volumes at the intersection are 1,847 and 2,066, respectively. The $0.50 \%$ annual growth rate was also used to produce 2017 peak hour volumes to evaluate the proposed condition.

Diagrams of all peak hour volumes considered including turning movements, truck percentages, peak hour factor and pedestrian counts can be found in Appendix A.

### 1.3 Existing and Proposed Site Conditions

The existing lane arrangement on both of the minor streets of Libby Hill Road and the Hannaford Supermarket driveway are currently a shared left/thru and a dedicated right turn lane as shown in Figure 2. This shared left/thru configuration is serviced using "split phasing". Split phasing allows one approach to be served at a time which is generally thought to be safer in the sense that there are no "yield on green ball" type conflicts. However, this timing is less efficient due to a greater amount of lost time (yellow and red transitions) which are needed within a single cycle of the signal.

[^0]Figure 2: Existing Site Conditions


The proposed site condition is a change in lane usage for the minor street approaches. Both Libby Hill Road and the Hannaford Supermarket driveway approaches will become a dedicated left turn lane with a shared thru/right. This is shown in Figure 3. The proposed arrangement will allow for concurrent left turn phasing from the minor streets and eliminate the need for split phasing.

This traffic analysis evaluates the 2016 existing condition in comparison to the 2017 proposed condition.

Figure 3: Proposed Site Conditions


### 2.0 Traffic Operations Analysis

Trafficware's Synchro 8/SimTraffic software was used to perform the traffic analysis. This program implements the methods outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and provides delay/vehicle and queue length results. For easy reference the results are reported as Level of Service (LOS), which is a system similar to letter grades of A through F, with A being the best and $F$ being the worst.

The Highway Capacity Manual lists the following definitions for each grade:

- A=Free flow
- $B=$ Reasonably free flow
- $\mathrm{C}=$ Stable flow
- $D=$ Approaching unstable flow
- $\mathrm{E}=$ Unstable flow
- F= Forced flow, volume is greater than capacity

The level of service assignments for signalized intersections as compared to delay values are shown in Table 1.

| Table 1: LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Delay per Vehicle (sec) |
| A | Signalized |
| B | 0 to 10 |
| C | $>10$ to 20 |
| D | $>20$ to 35 |
| E | $>35$ to 55 |
| F | $>55$ to 80 |

### 2.1 Assumptions

The following assumptions have been adopted for the purpose of this traffic analysis.

- For interpretation of results, Route 26 is the North-South corridor and the major street.
- Calculated heavy vehicle percentages were used; because it is a percentage the volumes will increase proportionately with the increase in overall volume by year.
- Calculated peak hour factors (PHF) were used.
- For pedestrians, a walking speed of 3.5 feet/second is used. Two pedestrians per crossing are expected during each peak hour. The crossings will be "concurrent" meaning pedestrians will have a walk signal while a non-conflicting traffic movement has a green condition. The pedestrian clearance times are greater than the optimized concurrent vehicle phase green times and will be "held" only when the push button is activated.
- To facilitate the concurrent protected left turn phases from the minor approach streets a truck turning movement analysis was necessary. It was found that two buses can make the opposing left turn movements at the same time without conflict. Two WB-67 tractor trailers can also make this turn simultaneously but there is some encroachment on adjacent lanes. The illustration of both scenarios can be found in Appendix B.
- The existing signal analysis was completed using current signal timings as recorded during a field walk on Tuesday, August $30^{\text {th }}, 2016$.
- The proposed signal analysis was completed using calculated yellow, red and pedestrian clearance times based on measured conditions. This information is shown in Appendix C.


### 2.2 Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

The results of the analysis at each intersection for 2016 Existing and 2017 Proposed AM and PM peak hours are documented in this section. The proposed scenario is based on the lane configuration change described in Section 1.3. In both scenarios the traffic signal is modeled as "actuated" even though the existing detection has been reported by the Town to be unreliable. An average of five SimTraffic microsimulation runs provide delay and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length ${ }^{3}$. The full print out of the Synchro results are located in Appendix D and the SimTraffic results are documented in Appendix E.

## - 2.2.1 Route 26, Libby Hill Road \& Hannaford Driveway

The results for the signalized intersection of Route 26, Libby Hill Road and the Hannaford Driveway are presented in Tables 2 and 3 for the AM and PM peak conditions respectively.

| Table 2: Analysis Results -AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | 2016 Existing |  |  |  | 2017 Proposed |  |  |  |
|  | Lane Group | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS | Queue Length ${ }^{2}$ | Lane Group | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS | Queue <br> Length ${ }^{2}$ |
| EB | LT | 54.2 | D | 125 | L | 60.3 | E | 106 |
| Road | R | 23.7 | C | 134 | TR | 64.1 | E | 197 |
| WB <br> Hannaford | LT | 48.2 | D | 81 | L | 70.6 | E | 46 |
|  | R | 4.9 | A | 28 | TR | 68.1 | E | 71 |
|  | L | 344.6 | F | 617 | L | 56.5 | E | 334 |
| NB <br> Route 26 | T | 126.1 | F | 2,094 | T | 8.9 | A | 320 |
|  | R | 120.9 | F | 38 | R | 4.6 | A | 29 |
|  | L | 78.4 | E | 59 | L | 90.7 | F | 89 |
| SB <br> Route 26 | T | 50.3 | D | 1,024 | T | 47.1 | D | 931 |
|  | R | 40.4 | D | 176 | R | 34.4 | C | 167 |


| 条 Overall | 2016 Existing |  | 2017 Proposed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay $^{1}$ | LOS | Delay $^{1}$ | Los |
| Libby Hill Road/Route 26/ <br> Hannaford Driveway | 109.0 | F | 40.6 | D |

1 Delay is shown in seconds per vehicle.
2 Queue length is shown in feet.

[^1]Table 3: Analysis Results -PM Peak Hour

| Approach | 2016 Existing |  |  |  | 2017 Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lane Group | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS | Queue Length ${ }^{2}$ | Lane Group | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS | Queue Length ${ }^{2}$ |
| EB <br> Libby Hill Road | LT | 54.6 | D | 113 | L | 48.0 | D | 97 |
|  | R | 10.3 | B | 57 | TR | 52.9 | D | 107 |
| WB <br> Hannaford Driveway | LT | 46.7 | D | 121 | L | 45.4 | D | 105 |
|  | R | 24.6 | C | 116 | TR | 43.8 | D | 127 |
| NB <br> Route 26 | L | 60.4 | E | 283 | L | 55.2 | E | 277 |
|  | T | 34.2 | C | 831 | T | 26.0 | C | 632 |
|  | R | 23.2 | C | 182 | R | 17.0 | B | 186 |
| SB <br> Route 26 | L | 59.5 | E | 97 | L | 54.4 | D | 71 |
|  | T | 18.4 | B | 327 | T | 16.6 | B | 286 |
|  | R | 6.8 | A | 109 | R | 5.1 | A | 107 |


| Overall | 2016 Existing |  | 2017 Proposed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay $^{1}$ | LOS | Delay $^{1}$ | LOS |
| Libby Hill Road/Route 26/ <br> Hannaford Driveway | 30.0 | C | 26.0 | C |

1 Delay is shown in seconds per vehicle.
2 Queue length is shown in feet.

In the existing condition the highest queues occur during the AM peak hour on the northbound approach. This is due to a high volume of vehicles needing to turn left on to Libby Hill Road. These left turning vehicles also experience substantial average delays of nearly 6 minutes. The queue length exceeds the turning pocket as well as the two-way left turn lane which together measure 500 feet. This queue then blocks the through movement. These findings reflect reports that drivers choose to turn right into the Hannaford driveway and cross Route 26 to Libby Hill Road rather than waiting to turn left through multiple signal cycles.

Due to the proposed lane arrangement change there is a measurable improvement in the overall operation of the signalized intersection. During the AM peak hour the change is significant; delay is reduced by a full minute.

During the PM peak hour the improvement is much less dramatic. By changing the lane approach configuration and updating the signal timing a small decrease in delay is achieved.

### 3.0 Recommendations

Louis Berger has completed a traffic analysis for the proposed improvement to the signalized intersection of Libby Hill Road, Route 26 and the Hannaford Driveway and reached the following conclusions:

1. The proposed change to the Libby Hill and Hannaford Driveway approaches should be implemented. This change will have a significant impact to delay during the AM peak hour - a reduction of nearly a full minute per vehicle is expected.
2. The detection at the signalized intersection should be replaced; false calls and skipped calls have been routinely reported to the Town.
3. The traffic controller should be replaced; it is outdated and does not have the ability to adjust to daylight savings time automatically.
4. The two way left turn lane on the northbound approach should be reconfigured as a left turn only lane.

These recommendations are meant to be implemented as soon as possible; it is preferred that the proposed changes be in place prior to Memorial Day, 2017 to accommodate the summer traffic season when volumes are historically higher than during the school year. The exception is recommendation \#4, restriping, which should be completed in conjunction with the next planned resurfacing contract. Longer term improvements are also necessary to ensure a passing level of service during the peak hours. Page 12 of the Route 26 Corridor Study referenced in the Introduction to this report recommends that the capacity of the intersection be increased prior to the year 2035 by adding lanes to the southbound and northbound approaches. Additional consideration would need to be given to the options for increasing capacity at this intersection by MaineDOT in conjunction with the Town of Gray with consideration given to the entire corridor.

## Appendix A

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic Volumes 2013
AM Peak Hour 6:45 AM-7:45 AM Raw Volumes


## Traffic Volumes 2013

PM Peak Hour 4:30 PM-5:30 PM
Raw Volumes

Route 26 (Shaker Rd)


Traffic Volumes 2013
AM Peak Hour 6:45 AM-7:45 AM Seasonally Adjusted Volumes


Traffic Volumes 2013
PM Peak Hour 4:30 PM-5:30 PM Seasonally Adjusted Volumes






## Appendix B

## Truck Turning Movements




## Appendix C

## Clearance Interval Calculations

## The Louis Berger Group, Inc

## INTERSECTION CLEARANCE INTERVALS \& PEDESTRIAN CLEARANCE CALCULATION

INTERSECTION: Route 26 \& Libby Hill Road; Gray, ME

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{t}+\frac{1.47 \mathrm{v}}{2 \mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{Gg}} \quad \mathrm{R}(\text { All Red Interval })=\frac{(\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{L})}{1.47 \mathrm{v}} \quad \text { Pedestrian Clearance }=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{w}}
$$

|  | Given/Typical Values: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}=$ yellow change interval [s] |  |
| $\mathrm{t}=$ driver perception-reaction time (typically 1.0 s ) | $t=1.0$ |
| $\mathrm{v}=$ approach speed limit [mph] |  |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ deceleration rate (typically $10.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) | $\mathrm{a}=10.0$ |
| $\mathrm{G}=$ grade of approach (percent/100, downhill is negative) |  |
| $\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration due to gravity [ $\left.\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{g}=32.2$ |

> | R | $=$ all-red clearance interval [s] |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| W | $=$ travel width, from stop bar to far side no-conflict point [ft] |  |
| L | $=$ length of vehicle (typically 20 ft ) | $\mathrm{L}=20$ |

| $\mathrm{C}=$ length of crosswalk $[\mathrm{ft}]$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ pedestrian walking speed (2009 MUTCD $-3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{w}=\square \mathbf{3 . 5}$ |


| Northbound RTE 26 | $v=$ | 35 | $\mathrm{G}=0.00 \%$ | $W=120$ | $C=$ | 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow $(\mathrm{Y})=$ | 3.57 s |  |  |  |  | Use |  |  |
| All Red (AR) = | 2.72 s |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}=$ | 3.5 | s |
| Clearance Interval ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{AR}$ ) | 6.29 s |  | <=== Recommended Minimum |  |  | AR = | 3.0 | s |
| Pedestrian Clearance $=$ | 0.00 s |  | <=== Recommended Minimum |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RTE 26 | $v=$ | 35 | $\mathrm{G}=0.00 \%$ | $W=105$ |  | 0 |  |  |
| Yellow (Y) = | 3.57 s |  |  |  |  | Use |  |  |
| All Red (AR) = | 2.43 s |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}=$ | 3.5 | s |
| Clearance Interval ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{AR}$ ) | 6.00 s |  | <=== Recommended Minimum |  |  | AR = | 2.5 | s |
| Pedestrian Clearance $=$ | 0.00 s |  | <=== Recommended Minimum |  |  |  |  |  |


| Eastbound <br> Libby Hill Road | $v=25$ | $\mathrm{G}=0.00 \%$ | $W=75$ | $C=0$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow (Y) = | 2.84 s |  |  | Use |  |  |
| All $\operatorname{Red}(A R)=$ | 2.59 s |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}=$ | 3.0 | s |
| Clearance Interval ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{AR}$ ) | 5.42 s | <=== Recommended Minimum |  | $A R=$ | 2.5 | s |
| Pedestrian Clearance = | 0.00 s | <=== Recommended Minimum |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hannaford | $v=20$ | $\mathrm{G}=0.00 \%$ | $W=85$ | $C=0$ |  |  |
| Yellow (Y) = | 2.47 s |  |  | Use |  |  |
| All Red (AR) = | 3.57 s |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}=$ | 2.5 | s |
| Clearance Interval ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{AR}$ ) | 6.04 s | <=== Recommended Minimum |  | AR = | 3.5 | s |
| Pedestrian Clearance = | 0.00 s | <=== Recommended Minimum |  |  |  |  |



| Lenth of Crosswalk (ft) | A | 69.0 ft | From curb to far side of traveled way measured from NE curb to NW travelway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance from pedestrian button to curb (ft) | B | 13.0 ft | If no pedestrian button, use 6 ft - measured from NE ped button to NE curb |
| Pedstrian speed ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | C | $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | If higher, than $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, explain: |
| Yellow Change Interval (s) | D | 3.5 sec |  |
| Red Clearance Interval (s) | E | 2.5 sec |  |
| Buffer Interval (s) | F | 6.0 sec | $=D+E$ (shall be at least 3.0 s) |
| Pedestrian Clearance Time (s) | G | 19.7 sec | $=A / C$ |
| Pedestrian Change Interval (s) | H | 13.8 sec | $=G-F$ (roundup to nearest 0.1 s ) |
| Pusbutton Check | 1 | 27.4 | $=(A+B) / 3$ |
|  | J | 7.6 | $=I-(F+H)($ roundup to nearest 0.1 s$)$ |
| Walk Interval (s) | K | 7.6 | $=\operatorname{Max}(7.0$ or J) if lower than 7.0, explain: |
| Pedestrian Phase (s) | L | 27.4 | $=F+H+K$ |
| Minimum Concurent Phase Split (s) | M | 28.4 | $=L+1$ (roundup to nearest 1.0 s ) |

CHECK THE FOLLOWING (if NOT met, revise the above):

| Pedestrian Clearance 19.8 | $>19.7$ | CROSSING ROUTE 26 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pedestrian Phase 28.4 | $>27.4$ |  |



| Lenth of Crosswalk (ft) | A | 90.0 ft | From curb to far side of traveled way measured from NW curb to SW travelway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance from pedestrian button to curb (ft) | B | 10.0 ft | If no pedestrian button, use 6 ft - measured from NW ped button to NW curb |
| Pedstrian speed (ft/s) | C | $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | If higher, than $3.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, explain: |
| Yellow Change Interval (s) | D | 3.0 sec |  |
| Red Clearance Interval (s) | E | 2.5 sec |  |
| Buffer Interval (s) | F | 5.5 sec | $=D+E$ (shall be at least 3.0 s ) |
| Pedestrian Clearance Time (s) | G | 25.7 sec | $=A / C$ |
| Pedestrian Change Interval (s) | H | 20.3 sec | $=G-F($ roundup to nearest 0.1 s ) |
| Pusbutton Check | 1 | 33.4 | $=(A+B) / 3$ |
|  | J | 7.6 | $=I-(F+H)($ roundup to nearest 0.1 s$)$ |
| Walk Interval (s) | K | 7.6 | $=$ Max (7.0 or J) if lower than 7.0, explain: |
| Pedestrian Phase (s) | L | 33.4 | $=F+H+K$ |
| Minimum Concurent Phase Split (s) | M | 34.4 | $=L+1$ (roundup to nearest 1.0 s) |

CHECK THE FOLLOWING (if NOT met, revise the above):

| Pedestrian Clearance 25.8 | $>$ | 25.7 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pedestrian Phase 34.4 | $>33.4$ |  |  |

## Appendix D

## Synchro Results

|  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | F |
| Volume (vph) | 56 | 10 | 163 | 19 | 27 | 16 | 285 | 266 | 55 | 16 | 776 | 160 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 130 | 0 |  | 125 | 300 |  | 125 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.961 |  |  | 0.985 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1665 | 1524 | 0 | 1838 | 1509 | 1703 | 1696 | 1495 | 1805 | 1810 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.961 |  |  | 0.985 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1665 | 1524 | 0 | 1838 | 1509 | 1703 | 1696 | 1495 | 1805 | 1810 | 1568 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 293 |  |  | 136 |  |  | 127 |  |  | 127 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 1455 |  |  | 440 |  |  | 2078 |  |  | 1006 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 39.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 40.5 |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.52 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.79 | 0.58 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 12\% | 0\% | 6\% | 6\% | 0\% | 7\% | 6\% | 12\% | 8\% | 0\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 108 | 26 | 429 | 31 | 71 | 32 | 452 | 320 | 117 | 28 | 982 | 276 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 134 | 429 | 0 | 102 | 32 | 452 | 320 | 117 | 28 | 982 | 276 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |



Splits and Phases: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford


|  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | F |
| Volume (vph) | 67 | 29 | 85 | 70 | 11 | 131 | 93 | 845 | 169 | 38 | 459 | 69 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 130 | 0 |  | 125 | 300 |  | 125 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.967 |  |  | 0.959 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1813 | 1615 | 0 | 1822 | 1599 | 1805 | 1827 | 1599 | 1805 | 1792 | 1615 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.967 |  |  | 0.959 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1813 | 1615 | 0 | 1822 | 1599 | 1805 | 1827 | 1599 | 1805 | 1792 | 1615 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 157 |  |  | 156 |  |  | 114 |  |  | 114 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 1455 |  |  | 440 |  |  | 1026 |  |  | 1006 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 39.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 94 | 45 | 157 | 137 | 22 | 156 | 152 | 929 | 180 | 54 | 604 | 88 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 139 | 157 | 0 | 159 | 156 | 152 | 929 | 180 | 54 | 604 | 88 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |



Splits and Phases: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 个 | F | \% | 4 | F |
| Volume (vph) | 56 | 10 | 164 | 19 | 27 | 16 | 286 | 267 | 55 | 16 | 780 | 160 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 130 |  | 130 | 125 |  | 125 | 300 |  | 125 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (tt) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit |  | 0.859 |  |  | 0.953 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1612 | 1545 | 0 | 1703 | 1772 | 0 | 1703 | 1696 | 1495 | 1805 | 1810 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1612 | 1545 | 0 | 1703 | 1772 | 0 | 1703 | 1696 | 1495 | 1805 | 1810 | 1568 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 365 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 117 |  |  | 113 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1455 |  |  | 440 |  |  | 2078 |  |  | 1006 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 39.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 40.5 |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.52 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.79 | 0.58 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 12\% | 0\% | 6\% | 6\% | 0\% | 7\% | 6\% | 12\% | 8\% | 0\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 108 | 26 | 432 | 31 | 71 | 32 | 454 | 322 | 117 | 28 | 987 | 276 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 108 | 458 | 0 | 31 | 103 | 0 | 454 | 322 | 117 | 28 | 987 | 276 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 10.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 34.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 16.0 | 15.0 |  | 13.0 | 12.0 |  | 41.0 | 111.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 81.0 | 16.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 10.7\% | 10.0\% |  | 8.7\% | 8.0\% |  | 27.3\% | 74.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.3\% | 54.0\% | 10.7\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.5 | 9.5 |  | 7.0 | 6.0 |  | 34.5 | 104.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 75.0 | 10.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min | None | None | Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.5 | 13.0 |  | 10.2 | 9.7 |  | 34.8 | 110.0 | 126.8 | 5.0 | 75.7 | 95.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.08 |  | 0.06 | 0.06 |  | 0.22 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.60 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.79 | 0.99 |  | 0.28 | 0.87 |  | 1.21 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 1.14 | 0.28 |
| Control Delay | 106.4 | 55.4 |  | 76.6 | 115.6 |  | 167.3 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 104.1 | 113.8 | 9.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 106.4 | 55.4 |  | 76.6 | 115.6 |  | 167.3 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 104.1 | 113.8 | 9.7 |
| LOS | F | E |  | E | F |  | F | B | A | F | F | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 65.1 |  |  | 106.6 |  |  | 89.7 |  |  | 91.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 157.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 86.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.1\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{1}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 67 | 29 | 85 | 71 | 11 | 131 | 93 | 849 | 169 | 38 | 461 | 70 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 130 |  | 130 | 125 |  | 125 | 300 |  | 125 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.883 |  |  | 0.869 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1678 | 0 | 1805 | 1637 | 0 | 1805 | 1827 | 1599 | 1805 | 1792 | 1615 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1678 | 0 | 1805 | 1637 | 0 | 1805 | 1827 | 1599 | 1805 | 1792 | 1615 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 114 |  |  | 156 |  |  |  | 134 |  |  | 141 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 1455 |  |  | 440 |  |  | 2078 |  |  | 1006 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 39.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 40.5 |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 94 | 45 | 157 | 139 | 22 | 156 | 152 | 933 | 180 | 54 | 607 | 90 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 94 | 202 | 0 | 139 | 178 | 0 | 152 | 933 | 180 | 54 | 607 | 90 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(f) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 10.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 34.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 16.0 | 15.0 |  | 18.0 | 17.0 |  | 18.0 | 77.0 | 18.0 | 10.0 | 69.0 | 16.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.3\% | 12.5\% |  | 15.0\% | 14.2\% |  | 15.0\% | 64.2\% | 15.0\% | 8.3\% | 57.5\% | 13.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.5 | 9.5 |  | 12.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.5 | 70.5 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 63.0 | 10.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min | None | None | Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 12.3 | 12.0 |  | 14.0 | 13.7 |  | 11.7 | 62.1 | 82.8 | 4.1 | 54.5 | 73.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.10 |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.62 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.74 |  | 0.64 | 0.54 |  | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.15 | 0.86 | 0.73 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 62.2 | 40.8 |  | 66.2 | 17.9 |  | 91.7 | 48.8 | 2.2 | 136.5 | 33.0 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 62.2 | 40.8 |  | 66.2 | 17.9 |  | 91.7 | 48.8 | 2.2 | 136.5 | 33.0 | 0.4 |
| LOS | E | D |  | E | B |  | F | D | A | F | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 47.6 |  |  | 39.1 |  |  | 47.4 |  |  | 36.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 117.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 43.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.4\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford


## Appendix E

## SimTraffic Results

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 13.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.7 | 54.2 | 23.7 | 43.2 | 48.2 | 4.9 | 344.6 | 126.1 | 120.9 | 78.4 | 50.3 | 40.4 |

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 6.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 106.7 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 6.2 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 109.0 |

Intersection: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 208 | 150 | 109 | 40 | 525 | 1800 | 73 | 87 | 952 | 145 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 73 | 35 | 8 | 501 | 1136 | 7 | 19 | 571 | 76 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 125 | 134 | 81 | 28 | 617 | 2094 | 38 | 59 | 1024 | 176 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1399 |  | 390 |  |  | 2042 |  |  | 964 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 130 |  | 125 | 500 |  | 125 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 69 | 0 |  |  | 36 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 222 | 2 |  |  | 64 | 1 |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 291

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 3.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.7 | 54.6 | 10.3 | 45.7 | 46.7 | 24.6 | 60.4 | 34.2 | 23.2 | 59.5 | 18.4 | 6.8 |

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 30.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 32.5 |

Intersection: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | $R$ | LT | $R$ | L | $T$ | $R$ | L | T | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 132 | 81 | 156 | 142 | 324 | 922 | 150 | 144 | 386 | 143 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 27 | 58 | 59 | 115 | 471 | 77 | 36 | 182 | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 113 | 57 | 121 | 116 | 283 | 831 | 182 | 97 | 327 | 109 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1399 |  | 390 |  |  | 990 |  |  | 964 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 120 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 130 |  | 125 | 300 |  | 125 | 120 | 15 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 |  | 15 | 16 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 1 |  | 0 |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 97

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 60.3 | 64.1 | 31.8 | 70.6 | 68.1 | 16.1 | 56.5 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 90.7 | 47.1 | 34.4 |

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.6 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 44.0 |

Intersection: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 140 | 243 | 63 | 90 | 323 | 487 | 43 | 144 | 937 | 145 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 48 | 99 | 17 | 29 | 221 | 95 | 7 | 25 | 573 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 106 | 197 | 46 | 71 | 334 | 320 | 29 | 89 | 931 | 167 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1397 |  | 390 |  | 2040 |  |  | 958 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 125 |  | 300 |  | 125 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 6 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 3 |  |  | 15 | 2 |  | 0 | 66 | 0 |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 89

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.0 | 52.9 | 21.1 | 45.4 | 43.8 | 26.3 | 55.2 | 26.0 | 17.0 | 54.4 | 16.6 | 5.1 |

1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 28.8 |

Intersection: 1: Route 26 \& Libby Hill/Hannaford

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | $R$ | L | T | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 132 | 131 | 132 | 146 | 324 | 754 | 150 | 96 | 353 | 143 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 51 | 49 | 64 | 108 | 352 | 77 | 27 | 160 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 97 | 107 | 105 | 127 | 277 | 632 | 186 | 71 | 286 | 107 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1397 |  | 390 |  | 2040 |  |  | 958 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 125 |  | 300 |  | 125 | 120 | 12 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 23 | 0 |  | 12 | 13 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 60 | 1 |  | 0 |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 77


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national_highway_system/nhs_maps/maine/me_maine.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.maine.gov/mdot/traffic/ytc/

[^1]:    3 The 95th-percentile queue is defined to be the queue length (in feet) that has a 5 -percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period.

